

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/672,154	09/27/2000	Masahiko Sato	450100-02733	6619	
	7590 06/18/2007 AWRENCE & HAUG		EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			RAMAN, USHA		
NEW YORK, 1	NY 10151	• ,	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
•		•	2623		
	. *				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/672,154	SATO, MASAHIK	SATO, MASAHIKO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Usha Raman	- 2623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed EXAMPLE AS INCOME TO THE MAJERIAN STREET THE MAJERI	,			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ag</u>	nril 2007					
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Globba in abbordance with the practice and a	x parto quayro, 1000 O.	Ų. 11, 400 O.G. 210.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-14 is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•			•			
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		·· —	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(e)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (FTO-092) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Other:	Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	 ·					

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4, and 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn (US Pat. 5,945,987) in view of Huxley et al. (US Pat. 6,134,547) and Wheeler (US Pre Grant Pub. 2001/0056478).

In regards to claims 1 and 9, Dunn discloses a broadcast program retrieval system for retrieving a desired broadcast program among a plurality of broadcast programs, comprising:

A data server (22) including a database (44) configured to receive and store broadcast program information (i.e. program records, see column 2, lines 54-62), the program information including name of an entertainer (see column 5, lines 59-63), and at least one function for searching the broadcast program information, which includes at least one program retrieval identification code (program ID) and other information related to broadcast programs (see column 3, lines 19-33).

The program ID uniquely identifies a program data record corresponding to a program content, wherein the program data records comprise data including

Application/Control Number: 09/672,154

Art Unit: 2623

scheduled time of the program. The program ID is therefore a function of content and a time slot. See column 5, lines 59-63.

A user server configured to receive (see column 3, lines 28-30) and store the broadcast program information (column 6, lines 18-25 and column 9, lines 30-35), said user server operating to send to the data server at least one content keyword for searching the broadcast program information for the desired broadcast program (see column 7, lines 20-25), including searching according to the name of an entertainer (see column 9, lines 1-2) and receiving a select number of program data records including program ID, the select number of program data records relating to the content keyword as a result of the searching by the data server. See column 3, lines 16-20 and lines 28-30.

Wherein data server enables said user server to retrieve a select number of broadcast program information stored in the user server (see column 8, lines 43-49), and allows a user to review the select number of broadcast program information (see column 10, lines 35-40, and lines 65-66) and to select the desired broadcast program from among a select number of broadcast programs corresponding reviewed select number of broadcast program information (see column 12, lines 64-67 and column 13, lines 1-3). Dunn also discloses that the broadcast programs maybe broadcast over various types of network, including a satellite network. See column 2, lines 36-44.

Dunn fails to disclose that the broadcast program information stored on the data server and user server are identical and that the user server is operative to

receive from the data server only a select number of program retrieval identification codes related to a query result; wherein the select number of program retrieval identification codes received from the data server enables the user to retrieve the broadcast program information. Dunn additionally fails to disclose that when the user searches by the name of the entertainer, results show past work under a different name of the entertainer.

In a similar field of endeavor, Huxley discloses an electronic cataloguing method, wherein the database tracks name changes of entertainers, thereby maintaining a list of all the credited work of the particular entertainer, regardless of their name changes. See column 7, lines 1-8.

Wheeler discloses a system comprising a user server storing data identical to the data on the data server, wherein the user server operative to receive from the data server only a select number of program retrieval identification codes (URL) related to a query result (i.e. request for webpage containing information), wherein the select number of program retrieval identification codes (i.e. URL) received from the data server enables the user to retrieve the broadcast program information (see [0012] from the user server and [0028]) for review rather than downloading the data from the data server.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of Huxley by enabling the database to track name changes of the entertainers, so that when a user queries for a particular entertainer, all previously credit work of the entertainer are presented to the user, who in turn can make the

Art Unit: 2623

appropriate selection. The motivation is to maintain coherency between aliases of entertainers and their credited work, so all titles matching user query can be presented to the user. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to further modify the system in view of Wheeler by to storing identical data (i.e. broadcast program information) in the data server and user server and further allowing the data server to send only the identifiers (i.e. program ID) of the files associated with the search, for local retrieval of the data at the user server. The motivation is to reduce transmission bandwidth and time, and increase data access speed (see Wheeler, [0014], [0028], [0033]) when responding to user queries.

In regards to claim 2, the user server in the modified system is connected to the head end through a communication link (cable network). Note figure 1 in Dunn.

In regards to claim 3, the modified system comprises search criteria including element of the content forming the broadcast program, such as category, title, actor, etc. Note column 7, lines 20-25 in Dunn.

In regards to claim 4, the modified system uniquely identifies each of the broadcast programs by a program ID in the program data record. Therefore the broadcast program inherently has a program ID appended in an "event information region" in order to properly identify the program specified by a program ID. Note column 2, lines 56-59 in Dunn.

In regards to claim 6, the program information sent of by the head end to the user server is used by a plurality of applications running on the set top box including an electronic program guide. Note column 4, lines 1-5 in Dunn.

Art Unit: 2623

In regards to claims 8 and 11, the data server of the modified system comprises a "keyword" database where a plurality of keywords related to a program (such as categories, title, actor, etc.) are used to match at least one content keyword (search criteria) received from the user server. Note column 8, lines 55-67 and column 9, lines 1-7 in Dunn.

In regards to claim 14, the searching functions provided in the modified system has the capability for a viewer to select items from the "viewer list" that contain the programs that have been added by the user and therefore reflecting the user's preference. Note column 10, lines 32-36 and column 9, lines 55-63 of Dunn.

In regards to claim 7 and 10, the modified system does not disclose that the program ID is unique for each of the plurality of broadcasts of the same program. Official notice is taken that program data event in an EPG are uniquely identified by the channel and time (as seen on an EPG grid). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the system in order to identify each of the program records by a combination of its channel and time information, thereby allowing each airing of a program event to be uniquely identified, according to its channel and airtime time.

In regards to claim 12, the modified system lacks that the program retrieval system updates the keyword database with any changes made to other information related to the broadcast programs. Official notice is taken that it is well know for head ends often receive schedule update information from a plurality of satellite feeds (downlink feed) and/or other master head end sources. Therefore

changes in the information related to a program are reflected in updated program information records received from such master head ends. Therefore it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify the system with a master head end for providing information reflecting any changes in the broadcast program information, in order to ensure that the local head ends have the most up to date broadcast program information.

In regards to claim 13, the program information record in the modified system includes other information related to the broadcast programs such as cast members (list of performers) appearing on each of the broadcast programs in addition to program ID. Note column 5, lines 56-67 in Dunn.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/672,154

Art Unit: 2623

Page 8

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Usha Raman whose telephone number is (571) 272-7380. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri: 9am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

UR

SCOTT E. BELIVEAU PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER